arXiv:1402.6355v1 [math.NT] 25 Feb 2014

ON SUBTOWERS OF TOWERS OF FUNCTION FIELDS

M. CHARA AND R. TOLEDANO

Abstract. In this paper we give computationally adequate conditions to construct subtowers of towers of function fields over finite fields. As an application of our result we present the first asymptotically good explicit cubic tower of function fields over a finite field with cubic cardinality.

Key words: Function fields, Towers, Genus, Asymptotic behavior

2000 Mathematical Subject Classification: 11R, 11G, 14H05

1. Introduction

Let q be a prime power and let $F/\mathbb{F}_q$ be an algebraic function field of one variable over the finite field F_q of cardinality q. In [4], Ihara introduced the function

$$A(q) = \limsup_{g\to\infty} \frac{N_q(g)}{g},$$

which measures how large can be the number of rational places in function fields with respect to their genus. It is not much known about this quantity but it importance relies in the fact that positive lower bounds for the function $A(q)$ imply the existence of arbitrary long codes with good parameters. The first examples of general lower bounds for Ihara's function involved deep results from class field theory and modular curves. The problem with these kind of constructions is that they do not provide explicit representation of the involved function fields, which are needed for the explicit construction of asymptotically good codes.

Another way of obtaining non-trivial lower bound for $A(q)$ is through the construction of asymptotically good towers of function fields over $\mathbb{F}_q$. More specifically, a tower is a strictly increasing sequence $\mathcal{F} = (F_0, F_1, \ldots)$ of function fields over a fixed finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$, such that all the extensions F_{n+1}/F_n are finite and separable, $\mathbb{F}_q$ is the full constant field of each F_n, and the genus $g(F_n)$ of each field F_n goes to infinity along with n. If $N(F_n)$ denotes the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational places of F_n, then the limit $\lambda(\mathcal{F}) = \lim_{n\to\infty} N(F_n)/g(F_n)$ exists, and it is called the limit of the tower. Clearly, this limit provides a lower bound for the quantity $A(q)$.

The first breakthrough in this setting came from the hands of Garcia and Stichtenoth who exhibited explicit towers of function fields with asymptotically good limits and using only basic results on ramification in separable extensions of function fields, (see, for example, [2]). In many of these towers, all the steps are simultaneously defined by the same equation. Towers defined in this way are called recursive.

One tricky thing when working with these recursive towers is that many times apparently different equations give rise to the same tower, and it not trivial at all

This work is partially supported by CONICET and UNL CAI+D 2011 PJ 500 201101 00016 LI: Subtorres, supertorres y modularidad de torres de cuerpos de funciones.

how to decide if the chosen equation is the "best" one to work with. With this in mind, the concepts of subtowers and supertowers gain importance. Basically, a subtower $\mathcal{E} = (E_0, E_1, \ldots)$ of a tower $\mathcal{F} = (F_0, F_1, \ldots)$ is a tower in which each function field E_i is embedded in some F_j, for $j \geq i$. In this case, it is also said that $\mathcal{F}$ is a supertower of $\mathcal{E}$. (See Section 3 to precise definitions). It may happen that the equation chosen to define recursively a tower may not be the most suitable for the determination of some invariants in the tower, depending on the method used. In this regard it is important to recognize when two equations define the same tower and even if the tower is a supertower or a subtower of an already studied tower or of a tower easier to study. It is widely known that $\lambda(\mathcal{E}) \geq \lambda(\mathcal{F})$ when $\mathcal{E}$ is a subtower of $\mathcal{F}$.

The aim of this paper is to provide a method to whether construct subtowers of function fields from already studied towers or to check if two apparently different equations define towers which are subtowers one of the other. This is done in Section 3 and in Theorem 3.2 we prove that the given method actually give rise to proper subsequences of a given tower. An interesting feature of these results is that they can be easily implemented in a computer so we were able to search for many equations defining subtowers.

In this paper we also present, in Section 4, the tower $\mathcal{I}$ over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{2^3}$ recursively defined by the equation

$$y^3 = \frac{x+1}{x^3},$$

and we prove that its limits is greater o equal than $3/2$. This is a subtower of the widely known recursive tower of Artin-Schreier type given by Van der Geer and Van der Vlught in [7]. The novelty in this tower, is that it is the first asymptotically good explicit cubic tower of function fields over a finite field with cubic cardinality.

The paper is organized as follow: In Section 2 we give some basic definitions. In Section 3 we present our main results. Finally in Section 4 we work with different examples using the given method. One of them is the tower $\mathcal{I}$ mentioned above. We also use the method to show that a tower $\mathcal{G}$ over $\mathbb{F}_9$ recursively defined by the equation

$$y^2 = \frac{x^2}{x-1},$$

is a proper subtower of the widely known Kummer type tower $\mathcal{F}$ recursively defined by the equation

$$y^2 = \frac{x^2+1}{2x}.$$

This two towers where studied separately in [3] but it was not mentioned that $\mathcal{G}$ was a subtower of $\mathcal{F}$ over $\mathbb{F}_9$.

2. Basics

Following [2] and [6], by a recursive sequence of function fields over $\mathbb{F}_q$ we mean that we have a sequence of function fields $\mathcal{F} = (F_0, F_1, \ldots)$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$, a sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ of trascendental elements over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and a polynomial in two variables

$$H \in \mathbb{F}_q[S, T]\,,$$

such that

(1) $F_0 = \mathbb{F}_q(x_0)$,

(2) $F_{i+1} = F_i(x_{i+1})$ where $H(x_i, x_{i+1}) = 0$ for $i \geq 0$, and
(3) for $i \geq 0$ the polynomial $H(x_i, T) \in F_i[T]$ is separable.

Notice that from this definition we have that each field extension F_{i+1}/F_i is finite (because $[F_{i+1} : F_i] \leq \deg_T(H(x_i, T))$) and separable. Also

$$F_i = \mathbb{F}_q(x_0, \ldots, x_i) \quad \text{for } i \geq 0\,,$$

so that

$$F_0 = \mathbb{F}_q(x_0) \subset F_1 \subset \ldots F_i \subset F_{i+1} \subset \ldots$$

If $[F_{i+1} : F_i] \geq 2$ for $i \geq 0$ (in other words $F_i \subsetneq F_{i+1}$ for $i \geq 0$) and the genus $g(F_i) \to \infty$ as $i \to \infty$ we shall say that $\mathcal{F} = (F_0, F_1, \ldots)$ is a recursive tower of function fields over $\mathbb{F}_q$.

If the tower $\mathcal{F} = (F_0, F_1, \ldots)$ is recursively defined by a polynomial of the form

$$H(S, T) := a_1(T)b_2(S) - a_2(T)b_1(S)\,,$$

where a_1, a_2, b_1, $b_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ are polynomials such that

$$\gcd(a_1, a_2) = \gcd(b_1, b_2) = 1\,,$$

we shall say that $\mathcal{F}$ is an (a, b)-recursive tower of function fields over $\mathbb{F}_q$ in order to make reference to the rational functions

$$a(T) := \frac{a_1(T)}{a_2(T)} \quad \text{and} \quad b(S) := \frac{b_1(S)}{b_2(S)}\,,$$

generating the sequence.

Not any choice of rational functions $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q(T)$ will give rise to a recursive tower over $\mathbb{F}_q$. For example, it was showed in [5] that absolutely irreducible and symmetric polynomials $H \in \mathbb{F}_q[S, T]$ (meaning that H is irreducible in an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_q$ and that $H(S, T) = H(T, S)$) do not give rise to towers if the extension $\mathbb{F}_q(x, y)/\mathbb{F}_q(x)$ is Galois where $H(x, y) = 0$ and x is trascendental over $\mathbb{F}_q$. They actually proved that, under the above conditions, if $F_{i+1} = F_i(x_{i+1})$ where $H(x_i, x_{i+1}) = 0$ for $i \geq 0$ then $F_i \subset F_1$ for all $i \geq 1$.

First we are going to formulate some simple results that are widely known and used but have not been stated explicitly.

Lemma 2.1. *Let et $a(T)$, $b_1(T)$, $b_2(T) \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ be pairwise coprime polynomials such that $\deg a(T) = \deg b_1(T) = m \geq 2$ and that $\deg b_2(T) = m - r$ with $\gcd(m, r) = 1$. Consider the following recursively defined function fields*

$$F_0 = \mathbb{F}_q(x_0) \text{ is the rational function fields;}$$
$$F_{i+1} = F_i(x_{i+1}) \text{ where } a(x_{i+1}) = b_1(x_i)/b_2(x_i) \text{ for all } i \geq 0.$$

Then

(1) $F_i \subsetneq F_{i+1}$.
(2) The place P_∞, the pole of x_0 in F_0, is totally ramified in the sequence. In consequence $\mathbb{F}_q$ is the full constant field of F_i for $i \geq 0$.

If, in addition, $a(T) - b(x_i) \in F_i[T]$ is separable for all $i \geq 0$ where $b(T) = b_1(T)/b_2(T)$, then each F_{i+1}/F_i is a separable field extension of degree m for $i \geq 0$. Therefore, $\mathcal{F} = (F_0, F_1, \ldots)$ is a recursively defined sequence of function fields.

Remark 2.2. *Notice that if in Lemma 2.1 we have that $\deg a(T) = \deg b_2(T) = m \geq 2$ and that $\deg b_1(T) = m - r$ with $\gcd(m, r) = 1$, then in a similar way it can be proven that the pole of x_i in F_i is totally ramified in F_{i+1} and therefore we still have that $\mathbb{F}_q$ is the full constant field of each F_i.*

Lemma 2.3. *With the same hypothesis as in Lemma 2.1 if we have that either*

1. *the ramification locus $Ram(F_1/F_0)$ has at least three places of $\mathbb{F}_0$, and two of them are totally ramified in $\mathbb{F}_1$; or*
2. *there are $m+1$ ramified places of $\mathbb{F}_0$ in F_1; or*
3. *the splitting locus $Split(\mathcal{F}/F_0)$ is non-empty;*

then $\mathcal{F}$ is a tower of function fields.

Proof. Let assume first that $P_\infty, P_1, P_2 \in \mathbb{P}(F_0)$ are the three ramified places in F_1 and let $Q_\infty, Q_1, Q_2 \in \mathbb{P}(F_1)$ such that $e(Q_\infty|P_\infty) = e(Q_1|P_1) = m$ and $e(Q_2|P_2) > 1$. By Dedekind's Different Theorem we have that

$$d(Q_j \mid P_j) \geq e(Q_j \mid P_j) - 1 = m - 1 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \infty$$

and

$$d(Q_2 \mid P_2) \geq e(Q_2 \mid P_2) - 1 \geq 1\,.$$

Thus, Hurwitz's genus formula state that

$$\begin{aligned} g(F_1) &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{[F_1 : F_0]}{[\mathbb{F}_q : \mathbb{F}_q]}(2g(F_0) - 2) + \deg \mathrm{Diff}(F_1/F_0) + 2\right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}(m(-2) + 2(m-1) + 1 + 2) \end{aligned}$$

so that $g(F_1) \geq 1$.

Now recall that P_∞ is completely ramified in F_i for $i \geq 0$. In particular, using the Hurwitz's genus formula for the extension F_2/F_1, we have

$$2g(F_2) \geq m(2g(F_1) - 2) + (m-1) + 2 \geq (m-1) + 2 \geq 3\,,$$

so that $g(F_2) \geq 2$ as desired.

Now assume that $P_1, \ldots, P_m, \mathbb{P}_\infty \in \mathbb{P}(F_0)$ are ramified places such that $Q_j \in \mathbb{P}(F_1)$ and $Q_j|P_j$ for $j = 1, \ldots, m, \infty$. Proceeding as before we get

$$\begin{aligned} g(F_1) &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{[F_1 : F_0]}{[\mathbb{F}_q : \mathbb{F}_q]}(2g(F_0) - 2) + \deg \mathrm{Diff}(F_1/F_0) + 2\right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}(m(-2) + m + (m-1) + 2) \end{aligned}$$

so that $g(F_1) \geq 1$, and the conclusion is reached as before.

Finally, is the splitting locus $Split(\mathcal{F}/F_0)$ is non-empty, then there is a rational place $P \in \mathbb{P}(F_0)$ which split completely in each extension so $N(F_i) \geq [F_i : F_0] = m^i$. Now using the Hasse-Weil bound we get

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} g(F_i) \geq \lim_{i\to\infty} N(F_i) - \frac{q+1}{2\sqrt{q}} = \infty,$$

and this complete the proof. □

3. The method

Let $\mathcal{F} = (F_0, F_1, \ldots)$ be a sequence of function fields over $\mathbb{F}_q$. A sequence $\mathcal{E} = (E_0, E_1, \ldots)$ of function fields over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is called *subsequence* of $\mathcal{F}$ if each E_i can be embedded in some F_j. In this case we also say that $\mathcal{F}$ is a *supersequence* of $\mathcal{E}$. Writing

$$\mathcal{F}^* := \bigcup_{i\geq 0} F_i \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}^* := \bigcup_{i\geq 0} E_i\,,$$

it is clear that $\mathcal{F}^*$ and $\mathcal{E}^*$ are isomorphic field extensions of $\mathbb{F}_q$ if one of them is subsequence of the other. When the sequences $\mathcal{F} = (F_0, F_1, \ldots)$ and $\mathcal{E} = (E_0, E_1, \ldots)$ are actually towers of function fields it is said that $\mathcal{E}$ is a *subtower* of $\mathcal{F}$ or, equivalently, $\mathcal{F}$ is a *supertower* of $\mathcal{E}$.

The method 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{F} = (F_0, F_1, \ldots)$ be an (a, b)-recursive sequence. Let f, $\tilde{a}$ and $\tilde{b}$ be rational functions with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_q$ such that*

$$\tilde{a} \circ f \circ b = \tilde{b} \circ f \circ a \,. \tag{1}$$

For $i \geq 0$ let $z_i = f(a(x_i))$ where $F_{i+1} = F_i(x_{i+1})$ with $a(x_{i+1}) = b(x_i)$. By (1) we have that

$$\tilde{a}(z_{i+1}) = \tilde{a} \circ f \circ b(x_i) = \tilde{b} \circ f \circ a(x_i) = \tilde{b}(z_i) \,.$$

Therefore z_0 is trascendental over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and if we put $E_0 = \mathbb{F}_q(z_0)$ and we define $E_{i+1} = E_i(z_{i+1})$ for $i \geq 0$ then we have an $(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})$-recursive subsequence $\mathcal{E} = (E_0, E_1, \ldots)$ of function fields over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of $\mathcal{F}$ because $E_i \subset F_i$.

Obviously we are interested in the case where $E_i \subsetneq F_i$ for infinitely many $i \geq 0$ so that $\mathcal{E}$ is essentially different from $\mathcal{F}$. If $E_i \subsetneq F_i$ for infinitely many $i \geq 0$ we shall say that $\mathcal{E}$ is a proper subsequence of $\mathcal{F}$ and if $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ are actually towers we shall say that $\mathcal{E}$ is a proper subtower of $\mathcal{F}$. There are conditions that are easy to check in order to guarantee the properness of a subsequence of $\mathcal{F}$ constructed using (1).

Recall that the degree of a rational function $a \in \mathbb{F}_q(T)$ is defined as $\deg(a) = \max\{\deg(a_1), \deg(a_2)\}$ where $a_1,\ a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ are coprime polynomials such that $a = a_1/a_2$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{F} = (F_0, F_1, \ldots)$ be an (a, b)-recursive sequence of function fields. Let $\{x_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ be a sequence of trascendental elements over $\mathbb{F}_q$ such that $F_{i+1} = F_i(x_{i+1})$ and $a(x_{i+1}) = b(x_i)$ for $i \geq 0$ and $F_0 = \mathbb{F}_q(x_0)$. Let $a_1,\ a_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ be coprime polynomials such that $a = a_1/a_2$ and $a_1(T) - a_2(T)b(x_i)$ (resp. $a_2(T) - a_1(T)(b(x_i))^{-1}$) is the minimal polynomial of x_{i+1} over F_i for $i \geq 0$ with $\deg(a_1) \geq 2$ (resp. $\deg(a_2) \geq 2$). Let $f \in \mathbb{F}_q(T)$ be a rational function such that (1) holds. For $i \geq 0$ let $E_{i+1} = E_i(z_{i+1})$ where $z_i = f(a(x_i))$ and $E_0 = \mathbb{F}_q(z_0)$. Suppose that $\tilde{a}_1,\ \tilde{a}_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ are coprime polynomials such that $\tilde{a} = \tilde{a}_1/\tilde{a}_2$ and $\tilde{a}_1(T) - \tilde{a}_2(T)\tilde{b}(z_i)$ (resp. $\tilde{a}_2(T) - \tilde{a}_1(T)(\tilde{b}(z_i))^{-1}$) is the minimal polynomial of z_{i+1} over E_i with $\deg(\tilde{a}_1) \geq 2$ (resp. $\deg(\tilde{a}_2) \geq 2$). If either*

$$\deg(a) \geq \deg(\tilde{a}) \,,$$

or

$$\gcd\{\deg(a), \deg(\tilde{a})\} = 1 \,,$$

then $\mathcal{E} = (E_0, E_1, \ldots)$ is an $(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})$-recursive subsequence of function fields of $\mathcal{F}$ such that $E_i \subsetneq F_i$ for $i \geq 0$.

Proof. We will prove the result when $a_1(T) - a_2(T)b(x_i)$ is the minimal polynomial of x_{i+1} over F_i since the proof in the other case is similar. From this assumption we have that $\deg(a) = \deg(a_1)$ and $\deg(\tilde{a}) = \deg(\tilde{a}_1)$. Let $z_0 = f(a(x_0))$. Since f and a are rational functions there are coprime polynomials $h_1,\ h_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ such that $f \circ a = h_1/h_2$. Then x_0 is a root of the polynomial $h_1(T) - h_2(T)z_0 \in E_0[T]$ and $E_0(x_0) = \mathbb{F}_q(z_0, x_0) = F_0$. Hence F_0 is a finite extension of E_0 and then $[F_i : E_0] < \infty$ for $i \geq 0$. Since $E_0 \subset E_i \subset F_i$ we have that $[F_i : E_i] < \infty$ for

$i \geq 0$. Let $d_i = [F_i : E_i]$. We have to show that $d_i > 1$ for $i \geq 0$. Suppose that $d_0 = 1$. Then there exist polynomials r_1, $r_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ such that $x_0 = r_1(z_0)/r_2(z_0)$. Since $z_0 = h_1(x_0)/h_2(x_0)$ and h_1 and h_2 have coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_q$ we would have that x_0 is a root of a polynomial with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_q$ which is impossible because x_0 is trascendental over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Hence $d_0 > 1$. Now suppose that $d_i > 1$ and that $d_{i+1} = 1$. By hypothesis we have that $[E_{i+1} : E_i] = \tilde{d} = \deg \tilde{a}_1 = \deg(\tilde{a})$ and $[F_{i+1} : F_i] = d = \deg a_1 = \deg(a)$. Then $\tilde{d} = \tilde{d}d_{i+1} = dd_i$ which contradicts that either $d \geq \tilde{d}$ or that $\gcd\{d, \tilde{d}\} = 1$. Hence $d_{i+1} > 1$. □

4. Examples

Example 1. Let $q = p^{2n}$ where p is an odd prime. The equation of Kummer type

$$y^2 = \frac{x^2 + 1}{2x}\,, \tag{2}$$

defines an (a, b)-recursive tower $\mathcal{F} = (F_0, F_1, \ldots)$ of function fields over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and was studied in [3]. In this case

$$F_{i+i} = F_i(x_{i+1}) \quad \text{with} \quad x_{i+1}^2 = \frac{x_i^2 + 1}{2x_i} \quad \text{for } i \geq 0\,,$$

and we have that $a(T) = T^2$ and $b(T) = (T^2 + 1)/2T$.

Now if $f(T) = 2T$, $\tilde{a}(T) = T^2$ and $\tilde{b}(T) = (T + 2)^2/2T$ then it is easy to check that

$$(\tilde{a} \circ f \circ b)(T) = \frac{(T^2 + 1)^2}{T^2} = (\tilde{b} \circ f \circ a)(T)\,,$$

so that the equation

$$y^2 = \frac{(x + 2)^2}{2x}\,,$$

defines an $(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})$-recursive proper subsequence $\mathcal{E} = (E_0, E_1, \ldots)$ of $\mathcal{F}$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ by Lemma 3.2 where

$$E_{i+1} = E_i(z_{i+1}) \quad \text{with} \quad z_{i+1}^2 = \frac{(z_i + 2)^2}{2z_i} \quad \text{and } z_i = 2x_i^2 \text{ for } i \geq 0\,,$$

In fact, $\mathcal{E}$ is actually a proper subtower of $\mathcal{F}$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$. This subtower was also obtained in [5] using a method due to Elkies.

Example 2. Now we want to determinate whether the tower $\mathcal{G} = (G_0, G_1, \ldots)$ over $\mathbb{F}_9$ recursively defined by

$$y^2 = \frac{x^2}{x - 1}\,,$$

has any relationship with some of the already known asymptotically good towers over $\mathbb{F}_9$. Notice that $\mathcal{G}$ is a tower over $\mathbb{F}_9$: using Lemma 2.1 we have that the pole P_∞ of x_0 in G_0 is totally ramified in the sequence and it is not hard to see that the zero P_0 of x_0 in G_0 splits completely in $\mathcal{G}$. Then $\mathcal{G}$ is a tower by Lemma 2.3.

We performed a computational search of possible functions $f(T)$ of the method with $\tilde{a}(T) = T^2$, $\tilde{b}(T) = T^2/(T - 1)$ and some of the mostly used (a, b)-towers over $\mathbb{F}_9$. As a result we have obtained that using $a(T) = T^2$ and $b(T) = (T + 2)^2/2T$ then $f(T) = T + 1$ satisfies equation (1), and Theorem 3.2 holds.

Therefore the tower $\mathcal{G}$ is actually a subtower of the tower $\mathcal{E}$ in the previous example and therefore is also a subtower of $\mathcal{F}$.

As we mentioned in the Introduction, the tower $\mathcal{G}$ was studied in [3] but it was not mentioned that $\mathcal{G}$ is a subtower of $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ over $\mathbb{F}_9$. Moreover, performing the change of variables $X = 1/x$ and $Y = 1/y$ we get the Fermat type tower recursively defined by

$$y^2 = x(1-x).$$

Therefore this example was not new as claimed in [3].

Example 3. The equation of Artin-Schreier type

$$y^2 + y = \frac{x^2+x+1}{x}\,, \tag{3}$$

defines (a,b)-recursive tower $\mathcal{F} = (F_0, F_1, \ldots)$ of function fields over $\mathbb{F}_8$ and was studied in [7]. We would like to investigate if there is any interesting proper subtower of this famous tower.

In this case

$$F_{i+i} = F_i(x_{i+1}) \quad \text{with} \quad x_{i+1}^2 + x_{i+1} = \frac{x_i^2+x_i+1}{x_i} \quad \text{for } i \geq 0\,,$$

and we have that $a(T) = T^2 + T$ and $b(T) = (T^2+T+1)/T$.

If $f(T) = 1/T$, $\tilde{a}(T) = T^3 + T^2$ and $\tilde{b}(T) = T/(T+1)^3$ then it is not hard to check that

$$(\tilde{a} \circ f \circ b)(T) = \frac{T^4+T^2}{T^6+T^5+T^3+T+1} = (\tilde{b} \circ f \circ a)(T)\,,$$

so that the equation

$$y^3 + y^2 = \frac{x}{(x+1)^3}\,,$$

defines an $(\tilde{a},\tilde{b})$-recursive proper subsequence $\mathcal{I} = (I_0, I_1, \ldots)$ of $\mathcal{F}$ over $\mathbb{F}_8$ by Theorem 3.2 where

$$I_{i+1} = I_i(z_{i+1}) \quad \text{with} \quad z_{i+1}^3 + z_{i+1}^2 = \frac{z_i}{(z_i+1)^3}\,,$$

and

$$z_i = \frac{1}{x_i^2 + x_i} \quad \text{for } i \geq 0\,.$$

In fact, $\mathcal{I}$ is actually a proper subtower of $\mathcal{F}$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let us prove that it is actually a tower. Using Remark 2.2 we have that $\mathbb{F}_q$ is the full constant field of each I_i. To ensure that the genus grows to infinity notice that by taking $a_1(T) = T^3 + T^2$, $a_2(T) = 1$, $b_1(T) = T$, $b_2(T) = (T+1)^3$, and $\varphi(T) = T+1$ it is not hard to verify that all the hypothesis in Theorem 2.1 of [1] are satisfied. Then we get that $|Split(\mathcal{I}/I_0)| \geq 3$, and thus $\mathcal{I}$ is a tower by Lemma 2.3.

Notice that the defining equation of the sequence $\mathcal{I}$ is not of Artin-Schreier type over $\mathbb{F}_8$. Moreover, performing the change of variables $w_i = z_i + 1$, we obtain the following defining equation for the tower $\mathcal{I}$:

$$w_{i+1}^3 = \frac{w_i+1}{w_i^3}. \tag{4}$$

In fact, $\mathcal{I}$ seems like a Kummer type tower, but it is not Kummer since $8 \not\equiv 1 \mod 3$. Finally, as $\mathcal{I}$ is a subtower of $\mathcal{F}$ we have that its limit satisfies

$$\lambda(\mathcal{I}) \geq \frac{3}{2}.$$

This is the first known example of an asymptotically good cubic tower of function fields over a finite field of cubic cardinality.

References

[1] M. Chara and R. Toledano. Rational places in extensions and sequences of function fields of Kummer type. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 215(11):2603–2614, 2011.
[2] A. Garcia and H. Stichtenoth. Explicit towers of function fields over finite fields. In *Topics in geometry, coding theory and cryptography*, volume 6 of *Algebr. Appl.*, pages 1–58. Springer, Dordrecht, 2007.
[3] A. Garcia, H. Stichtenoth, and H. Rück. On tame towers over finite fields. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 557:53–80, 2003.
[4] Y. Ihara. Some remarks on the number of rational points of algebraic curves over finite fields. *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.*, 28(3):721–724 (1982), 1981.
[5] H. Maharaj and J. Wulftange. On the construction of tame towers over finite fields. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 199(1-3):197–218, 2005.
[6] H. Stichtenoth. *Algebraic function fields and codes*, volume 254 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2009.
[7] G. van der Geer and M. van der Vlugt. An asymptotically good tower of curves over the field with eight elements. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 34(3):291–300, 2002.

M. Chara: Instituto de Matemática Aplicada del Litoral (UNL-CONICET), Colectora Ruta Nac. N 168 km. 472, Paraje El Pozo (3000) Santa Fe, Argentina
E-mail address: mchara@santafe-conicet.gov.ar

R. Toledano: Instituto de Matemática Aplicada del Litoral (UNL-CONICET), Colectora Ruta Nac. N 168 km. 472, Paraje El Pozo (3000) Santa Fe, Argentina and Departamento de Matemática, Facultad de Ingeniería Química (UNL), Santiago del Estero 2829 (3000) Santa Fe, Argentina
E-mail address: rtoledano@santafe-conicet.gov.ar